## MATHEMATICS 212

## ASSIGNMENT 9

Due: April 15, 2015
$01^{\circ}$ Let $f$ be a function defined on a region $\Omega$ in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. Let $S$ be the surface in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ defined implicitly by $f$, as follows:

$$
f(x, y, z)=0 \quad((x, y, z) \in \Omega)
$$

The 2-form:

$$
\sigma=*\left(\frac{1}{\|\nabla f\|} d f\right)
$$

is the area 2-form for $S$. Why? Now compute the surface area of the parabolic surface $S$ defined as follows:

$$
z-x^{2}-y^{2}=0, \quad 0 \leq z \leq c^{2} \quad\left((x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3}\right)
$$

where $c$ is a positive real number. To do so, design an appropriate 2-chain $H$. $02^{\circ}$ With reference to the first problem, find the surface area of the conical surface $S$ defined as follows:

$$
z^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2}=0, \quad 0 \leq z \leq c \quad\left((x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3}\right)
$$

where $c$ is a positive real number. To do so, design an appropriate 2-chain $H$.
$03^{\circ}$ Consider the 2-form:

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{r^{3}}(*(r d r))
$$

on $\mathbf{R}^{3} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Show that:

$$
d \mu=0
$$

Suppose that there is a 1-form $\lambda$ on $\mathbf{R}^{3} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that:

$$
d \lambda=\mu
$$

Apply Stokes' Theorem to show that:

$$
\int_{H} \mu=0
$$

where $H$ is the familiar 2-chain which serves to parametrize $\mathbf{S}^{2}$. But this cannot be so. Why?

