## MATHEMATICS 212

## ASSIGNMENT 1

Due: February 4, 2015

01 Memorize the Greek alphabet:

| $\alpha$ | alpha | $A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | beta | $B$ |
| $\gamma$ | gamma | $\Gamma$ |
| $\delta$ | delta | $\Delta$ |
| $\epsilon$ | epsilon | $E$ |
| $\zeta$ | zeta | $Z$ |
| $\eta$ | eta | $H$ |
| $\theta$ | theta | $\Theta$ |
| $\iota$ | iota | $I$ |
| $\kappa$ | kappa | $K$ |
| $\lambda$ | lambda | $\Lambda$ |
| $\mu$ | mu | $M$ |
| $\nu$ | nu | $N$ |
| $\xi$ | xi | $\Xi$ |
| $o$ | omicron | $O$ |
| $\pi$ | pi | $\Pi$ |
| $\rho$ | rho | $P$ |
| $\sigma$ | sigma | $\Sigma$ |
| $\tau$ | tau | $T$ |
| $v$ | upsilon | $\Upsilon$ |
| $\phi$ | phi | $\Phi$ |
| $\chi$ | chi | $X$ |
| $\psi$ | psi | $\Psi$ |
| $\omega$ | omega | $\Omega$ |

$02^{\circ}$ Let $\phi$ be a scalar field and let $F$ be a vector field on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. By definition, $\phi$ is a function for which the domain is (a suitable subset of) $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and the codomain is $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$
\phi(x, y, z)
$$

while $F$ is a function for which the domain is (a suitable subset of) $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and the codomain is $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ :

$$
F(x, y, z)=(u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z), w(x, y, z))
$$

where $u, v$, and $w$ are (in effect) scalar fields, the components of $F$.

One defines the following operators acting on $\phi$ and $F$ :

## (•) Gradient

$\nabla \phi=(\partial \phi / \partial x, \partial \phi / \partial y, \partial \phi / \partial z)$
(•) Curl
$\nabla \times F=(\partial w / \partial y-\partial v / \partial z, \partial u / \partial z-\partial w / \partial x, \partial v / \partial x-\partial u / \partial y)$
(•) Divergence
$\nabla \bullet F=\partial u / \partial x+\partial v / \partial y+\partial w / \partial z$
(•) Laplacian

$$
\nabla^{2} \phi=\nabla \bullet(\nabla \phi)=\partial^{2} \phi / \partial x^{2}+\partial^{2} \phi / \partial y^{2}+\partial^{2} \phi / \partial z^{2}
$$

Show that:

$$
\nabla \times(\nabla \phi)=(0,0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla \bullet(\nabla \times F)=0
$$

$03^{\circ}$ Given vector fields $G$ and $H$ on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, show that:

$$
\nabla \bullet(G \times H)=(\nabla \times G) \bullet H-G \bullet(\nabla \times H)
$$

$04^{\circ}$ Given a vector field $F$ on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, show that:

$$
\nabla \times(\nabla \times F)=\nabla(\nabla \bullet F)-\nabla^{2} F
$$

Of course, $\nabla^{2}$ acts on $F$ component by component.
$05^{\circ}$ Let $\phi$ be the scalar field on $\mathbf{R}$ defined as follows:

$$
\phi(x, y, z)=-\frac{1}{r} \quad(0<r)
$$

where:

$$
r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}
$$

Calculate:

$$
-(\nabla \phi)(x, y, z)
$$

To that end, note that $\partial r / \partial x=x / r, \partial r / \partial y=y / r$, and $\partial r / \partial z=z / r$.
$06^{\circ}$ Let $D$ be a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and let $F$ be a vector field on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ defined on $D$ :

$$
F(x, y, z)=(u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z), w(x, y, z)) \quad((x, y, z) \in D)
$$

Let $J$ be a closed finite interval in $\mathbf{R}$ :

$$
J=[a, b] \quad(a<b)
$$

and let $\Gamma$ be a (parametrized) curve in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ defined on $J$ :

$$
\Gamma(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \quad(a \leq t \leq b)
$$

Let the range of $\Gamma$ be included in the domain of $F$ :

$$
\Gamma(t) \in D \quad(a \leq t \leq b)
$$

In this context, one defines the line integral of $F$ over $\Gamma$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} F & :=\int_{a}^{b} F(\Gamma(t)) \bullet \Gamma^{\circ}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left[\left(u(x(t), y(t), z(t)) x^{\circ}(t)+\left(v(x(t), y(t), z(t)) y^{\circ}(t)+\left(w(x(t), y(t), z(t)) z^{\circ}(t)\right] d t\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the following particular cases:

$$
F(x, y, z)=\left(-\frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, z\right) \quad\left(0<x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$

and:

$$
\Gamma_{1}(t)=(\cos (t), \sin (t), t), \quad \Gamma_{2}(t)=(\cos (t),-\sin (t), t) \quad(0 \leq t \leq \pi)
$$

show that:

$$
(\nabla \times F)(x, y, z)=(0,0,0)
$$

and:

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} F \neq \int_{\Gamma_{2}} F
$$

Note, however, that the initial points of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ coincide. The same is true of the terminal points. Finally, with reference to the preceding problem, replace $F$ by:

$$
F(x, y, z)=-(\nabla \phi)(x, y, z)
$$

Show that, in this case:

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} F=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} F
$$

