## LORENTZ TRANSFORMATIONS

Thomas Wieting Reed College, 2000

- 1 Basic Concepts
- 2 Simultaneity
- 3 Clocks and Rods

## 1 Basic Concepts

1° Given two vectors X and Y in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ :

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X^0 \\ X^1 \\ X^2 \\ X^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y^0 \\ Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ Y^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

one defines the minkowski inner product of X and Y as follows:

$$\langle\!\!\langle X, Y \rangle\!\!\rangle := X^0 Y^0 - X^1 Y^1 - X^2 Y^2 - X^3 Y^3$$
  
=  $X^* \eta Y$ 

where  $X^*$  is the transpose of X and where::

$$\eta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $2^{\circ}$  By an orthonormal frame in  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , one means an ordered quadruple:

$$F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3$$

of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  for which:

$$\langle\!\!\langle F_j, F_k \rangle\!\!\rangle = \eta_{jk} \qquad (0 \le j \le 3, \ 0 \le k \le 3)$$

The following vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  comprise the *standard* orthonormal frame:

$$E_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3° Given two vectors X and Y in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , one defines the *time/space interval* between X and Y as follows:

$$\tau(X,Y) := \langle\!\!\langle Y - X, Y - X \rangle\!\!\rangle$$

One says that the interval  $\tau(X, Y)$  is spacelike iff  $\tau(X, Y) < 0$ , lightlike iff  $\tau(X, Y) = 0$ , and timelike iff  $0 < \tau(X, Y)$ . One says that X causally precedes Y iff  $\tau(X, Y)$  is lightlike and  $X^0 < Y^0$ . We will write X < Y to express the foregoing relation.

4° By a *lorentz transformation* on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , one means a linear mapping  $\Lambda$  carrying  $\mathbf{R}^4$  to itself and meeting the following condition:

$$\langle\!\langle \Lambda(X), \Lambda(Y) \rangle\!\rangle = \langle\!\langle X, Y \rangle\!\rangle \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^4, Y \in \mathbf{R}^4)$$

We will identify  $\Lambda$  with its matrix relative to the standard orthonormal frame:

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_0^0 & \Lambda_1^0 & \Lambda_2^0 & \Lambda_3^0 \\ \Lambda_0^1 & \Lambda_1^1 & \Lambda_2^1 & \Lambda_1^1 \\ \Lambda_0^2 & \Lambda_1^2 & \Lambda_2^2 & \Lambda_3^2 \\ \Lambda_0^3 & \Lambda_1^3 & \Lambda_2^3 & \Lambda_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we will refer to  $\Lambda$  as a *lorentz matrix*. One can easily show that the linear mapping  $\Lambda$  carrying  $\mathbf{R}^4$  to itself is a lorentz matrix iff:

$$\Lambda^*\eta\Lambda = \eta$$

where  $\Lambda^*$  is the transpose of  $\Lambda$ . By this relation, one can easily show that if  $\Lambda$  is a lorentz matrix then  $\Lambda^{-1}$  and  $\Lambda^*$  are also lorentz matrices. Moreover:

$$det(\Lambda) = \pm 1$$

One says that  $\Lambda$  is *proper* iff  $det(\Lambda) = 1$ . In turn,  $\Lambda$  is a lorentz matrix iff the columns of  $\Lambda$ :

$$\Lambda_0 = \Lambda E_0, \ \Lambda_1 = \Lambda E_1, \ \Lambda_2 = \Lambda E_2, \ \Lambda_3 = \Lambda E_3$$

comprise an orthonormal frame on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . By this fact, one can easily show that if  $\Lambda$  is a lorentz matrix then:

$$\Lambda_0^0 \le -1$$
 or  $1 \le \Lambda_0^0$ 

One says that  $\Lambda$  is orthochronous iff  $1 \leq \Lambda_0^0$ .

5° Now let  $\Pi$  be any mapping carrying  $\mathbf{R}^4$  to itself. One says that  $\Pi$  is a *causal* mapping iff:

$$\Pi(X) < \Pi(Y) \quad \text{iff} \quad X < Y \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^4, \ Y \in \mathbf{R}^4)$$

In 1964, C. Zeeman proved that, for any mapping  $\Pi$  carrying  $\mathbf{R}^4$  to itself,  $\Pi$  is causal iff it has the following form:

$$\Pi(X) = W + a\Lambda X \qquad (X \in \mathbf{R}^4)$$

where W is any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , where a is any positive real number, and where  $\Lambda$  is any orthochronous lorentz matrix.

 $6^{\circ}$  Let us concentrate upon lorentz matrices which are both proper and orthochronous. There are two cases of special interest, the *rotations* and the *boosts*. Let R be a rotation matrix having three rows and three columns. In terms of R, we may form the lorentz matrix  $\hat{R}$  as follows:

$$\hat{R} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_1^1 & R_2^1 & R_3^1 \\ 0 & R_1^2 & R_2^2 & R_3^2 \\ 0 & R_1^3 & R_2^3 & R_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly,  $\hat{R}$  is proper and orthochronous. One refers to  $\hat{R}$  as a *rotation*. Now let  $\theta$  be any real number. In terms of  $\theta$ , we may form the lorentz matrix  $B_{\theta}$  as follows:

$$B_{\theta} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where:

$$\alpha := \cosh(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \sinh(\theta)$$

Clearly,  $B_{\theta}$  is proper and orthochronous. One refers to  $B_{\theta}$  as a *boost*.

7° Let  $\Lambda$  be a proper orthochronous lorentz matrix. If  $\Lambda_0^0 = 1$  then (one can easily show that)  $\Lambda$  must be a rotation. Let us assume that  $1 < \Lambda_0^0$ . Under this assumption, we contend that there exist rotations  $\hat{R}$  and  $\hat{S}$  and a boost  $B_{\theta}$  such that:

$$\Lambda = \hat{R} B_{\theta} \hat{S}$$

In fact, we may insist that  $0 < \theta$  and that  $cosh(\theta) = \Lambda_0^0$ . To prove this contention, we introduce rotation matrices Q and S (having three rows and three columns) such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_0^1 \\ \Lambda_0^2 \\ \Lambda_0^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^1 & Q_2^1 & Q_3^1 \\ Q_1^2 & Q_2^2 & Q_3^2 \\ Q_1^3 & Q_2^3 & Q_3^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1^0 & \Lambda_2^0 & \Lambda_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_1^1 & S_2^1 & S_3^1 \\ S_1^2 & S_2^2 & S_3^2 \\ S_1^3 & S_2^3 & S_3^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where:

$$\begin{split} 0 < \beta &:= \sqrt{(\Lambda_0^1)^2 + (\Lambda_0^2)^2 + (\Lambda_0^3)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(\Lambda_1^0)^2 + (\Lambda_2^0)^2 + (\Lambda_3^0)^2} \end{split}$$

We obtain:

$$\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda \hat{S}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0\\ \beta & \alpha & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & u & -v\\ 0 & 0 & v & u \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha := \Lambda_0^0$  and where:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}$$

is a suitable rotation matrix having two rows and two columns. We introduce the rotation matrix P:

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u & -v \\ 0 & v & u \end{pmatrix}$$

having three rows and three columns. Clearly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u & -v \\ 0 & 0 & v & u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u & -v \\ 0 & 0 & v & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence:

$$\Lambda = \hat{R}B_{\theta}\hat{S}$$

where R := QP, where  $0 < \theta$ , and where:

$$tanh(\theta) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{(\Lambda_0^1)^2 + (\Lambda_0^2)^2 + (\Lambda_0^3)^2}}{\Lambda_0^0}$$

8° Let us imagine two *inertial observers* K and L who coordinatize events by vectors X and Y in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Let us presume that these coordinate vectors are related by a proper orthochronous lorentz matrix  $\Lambda$ :

$$Y = \Lambda X$$

The coordinate vectors:

$$X = wE_0 = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (w \in \mathbf{R})$$

comprise the *world line* of the spatial origin for K. One can easily check that the corresponding vectors Y satisfy the relation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ Y^3 \end{pmatrix} = tanh(\theta)Y^0 \begin{pmatrix} R_1^1 \\ R_1^2 \\ R_1^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Of course, we have made use of the representation  $\Lambda = \hat{R}B_{\theta}\hat{S}$  of  $\Lambda$  in terms of the rotations  $\hat{R}$  and  $\hat{S}$  and the boost  $B_{\theta}$ . Hence, we may say that, for L, the spatial origin for K moves in the direction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1^1\\ R_1^2\\ R_1^3\\ R_1^3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_0^1\\ \Lambda_0^2\\ \Lambda_0^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with speed  $tanh(\theta)$ .

9° Let v stand for  $tanh(\theta)$ . Since:

$$\cosh^2(\theta) - \sinh^2(\theta) = 1$$
 and  $\sinh(\theta) = \tanh(\theta)\cosh(\theta)$ 

we find that:

$$\cosh^2(\theta) = (1 - v^2)^{-1}$$
 and  $\sinh^2(\theta) = v^2(1 - v^2)^{-1}$ 

Hence, we may display the boost  $B_{\theta}$  in the following conventional form:

$$B_{\theta} := \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & v\gamma & 0 & 0\\ v\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where:

$$\gamma := (1 - v^2)^{-1/2}$$

## 2 Simultaneity

10° Let us consider certain peculiar facts concerning the coordinate vectors X and Y of events for the inertial observers K and L. For simplicity, we will assume that the lorentz matrix relating X and Y is simply the boost  $B_{\theta}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y^{0} \\ Y^{1} \\ Y^{2} \\ Y^{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & v\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ v\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^{0} \\ X^{1} \\ X^{2} \\ X^{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be any two events. Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be the coordinate vectors of these events for K and let  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  be the coordinate vectors of these events for L. It may happen that  $X_1^0 = X_2^0$ . In that case, one may say that, for K, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are *simultaneous*. However:

$$Y_2^0 - Y_1^0 = v\gamma(X_2^1 - X_1^1)$$

Hence, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are not simultaneous for L unless v = 0 or  $X_1^1 = X_2^1$ . We conclude that the relation of simultaneity is not an *invariant* among inertial observers.

## 3 Clocks and Rods

 $11^{\circ}$  In turn, it may happen that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1^1 \\ X_1^2 \\ X_1^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_2^2 \\ X_2^2 \\ X_2^3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X_1^0 < X_2^0$$

In that case, one may say that, for K, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  occur at the same place but at different times. One might say that, for K, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  mark two distinct ticks of a clock at rest with respect to K. However, for L, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  mark two distinct ticks of that same clock moving at speed v in the direction:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1^1\\ R_1^2\\ R_1^3\\ R_1^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover:

$$Y_2^0 - Y_1^0 = \gamma (X_2^0 - X_1^0)$$

so that:

$$1 \le \gamma = \frac{Y_2^0 - Y_1^0}{X_2^0 - X_1^0}$$

Hence, one might say that a clock ticks more slowly when in motion than when at rest.

12° Finally, it may happen that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_2^1 \\ X_2^2 \\ X_2^3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} X_1^1 \\ X_1^2 \\ X_1^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1^0 = Y_2^0 \qquad (0 < \ell)$$

In that case, one may say that, for L, the events  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  correspond to simultaneous observations of the ends of a straight rod of length  $\ell$  lying at rest with respect to K along the first spatial coordinate axis. Moreover:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_2^1\\ Y_2^2\\ Y_2^3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^1\\ Y_1^2\\ Y_1^3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \ell\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, one might say that a straight rod is shorter when in motion than when at rest.