

Economics 312

Daily Problem #17

Spring 2014
February 27

We have used the instructor's Econ 201 grade data several times in class demonstrations. Here, we consider how we might learn about the shape of a functional relationship between grade and reader rating by using a set of dummy variables.

Recall that the (inverse) reader rating is a rating of academic promise at the time of admission assigned by the Reed admission office. The dependent variable is *gpoints*, defined as grade points earned in Econ 201, on a scale of 0 (F) to 4 (A or A+).

A linear regression of *gpoints* on *irdr* yields

```
. reg gpoints irdr
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 839		
Model	49.0522912	1	49.0522912	F(1, 837)	=	74.64
Residual	550.04747	837	.657165435	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	599.099761	838	.714916182	R-squared	=	0.0819
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0808
				Root MSE	=	.81066

<i>gpoints</i>	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
<i>irdr</i>	.4354099	.0503971	8.64	0.000	.3364902	.5343295
_cons	1.386878	.1701852	8.15	0.000	1.052838	1.720918

However, I am not convinced that the effect of reader rating on grade should be linear, or indeed that it should follow any simple parametric form. To allow the “response surface” (the graph of the predicted value of *gpoints* against *irdr*) to be determined flexible, I created four dummy variables with the following commands:

```
. g irdr_45=irdr>4.25
. g irdr_40 = irdr>3.75 & irdr<=4.25
. g irdr_35=irdr>3.25 & irdr<=3.75
. g irdr_30=irdr>2.75 & irdr<=3.25
```

The summary statistics for *irdr* and the dummies are

```
. summarize irdr irdr_30 irdr_35 irdr_40 irdr_45
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
<i>irdr</i>	865	3.331075	.5547127	1.5	5

irdr_30		865	.3560694	.4791131	0	1
irdr_35		865	.265896	.4420647	0	1
irdr_40		865	.1676301	.3737535	0	1
irdr_45		865	.0358382	.185994	0	1

Regressing grade points on the set of dummies yields

```
. reg gpoints irdr_30 irdr_35 irdr_40 irdr_45
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 839		
Model	47.1034509	4	11.7758627	F(4, 834)	=	17.79
Residual	551.99631	834	.661866079	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	599.099761	838	.714916182	R-squared	=	0.0786
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0742
				Root MSE	=	.81355

gpoints	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
irdr_30	.259464	.0818113	3.17	0.002	.0988837	.4200443
irdr_35	.4137226	.0862559	4.80	0.000	.2444184	.5830267
irdr_40	.6561783	.0960921	6.83	0.000	.4675675	.8447891
irdr_45	.9875545	.1606941	6.15	0.000	.6721421	1.302967
_cons	2.489865	.0668735	37.23	0.000	2.358605	2.621125

1. Based on the summary statistics, what proportion of Econ 201 students fall into the inverse reader rating categories >4.25 , $3.75-4.25$, $3.25-3.75$, $2.75-3.25$, <2.75 ?
2. What, precisely, does each of the dummy-variable coefficients in the regression table tell you?
3. If the relationship between irdr and gpoints was linear, what would you expect from the dummy coefficients?
4. Graph (crudely) the estimated response surface for grade as a function of irdr implied by the coefficients on the dummies as estimated in the regression. Although the function is formally a step function, you can plot each interval at its midpoint, using 4.5 for the top interval and 2.5 for the bottom (omitted) one.
5. Based on your graph, does a linear relationship seem plausible? If not, what other functional form might be better?
6. What set of linear restrictions on the coefficients of the dummies could be tested to see if a linear relationship is rejected?
7. What are the advantages and disadvantages of this approach compared with, for example, including a quadratic term in the relationship?