

Economics 312

Daily Problem #11

Spring 2014
February 19

One of the earliest (and dearest to my heart) hedonic studies was a 1927 examination by Frederick Waugh of the price of bunches of asparagus at a Boston wholesale market, as a function of characteristics of the bunches, each of which weighed approximately 18 ounces. Note that because the weight of the bunches was fixed, more stalks corresponds to smaller individual spears, not to more of this peerless vegetable. The variables in his data set are:

```

obs:                200
-----
variable name      storage  display  value    variable label
                  type     format   label
-----
green              int     %8.0g
                  Amount of green on stalks in
                  hundredths of inches
nostalks           byte    %8.0g    Number of stalks in bunch
disperse          byte    %8.0g    Interquartile dispersion in
                  diameter
price             int     %8.0g    Price of bunch in cents
-----

```

The “interquartile dispersion in diameter” is the difference in cross-sectional diameter between the stalks at the 75% and 25% percentiles. A higher value indicates a less homogeneous set of stalks in terms of diameter.

Summary statistics are:

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
green	200	588.75	156.331	300	950
nostalks	200	19.555	7.792986	9	48
disperse	200	14.875	9.137112	0	60
price	200	90.095	29.47439	32	183

Re-estimating his regression (he didn’t have access to a computer and he appears to have made calculation errors):

```
. reg price green nostalks disperse
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	200
Model	125648.449	3	41882.8164	F(3, 196) =	173.81
Residual	47230.7457	196	240.973193	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	172879.195	199	868.739673	R-squared =	0.7268
				Adj R-squared =	0.7226
				Root MSE =	15.523

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]

green		.1375982	.0070994	19.38	0.000	.1235973	.1515992
nostalks		-1.357256	.1508215	-9.00	0.000	-1.654698	-1.059815
disperse		-.3452828	.1296563	-2.66	0.008	-.6009834	-.0895823
_cons		40.76126	5.327837	7.65	0.000	30.25402	51.26851

with estimated coefficient covariance matrix:

	green	nostalks	disperse	_cons
green	.0000504			
nostalks	-.00003467	.02274714		
disperse	.00011905	-.00686567	.01681076	
_cons	-.03076629	-.32227884	-.18589329	28.385842

1. Assess this regression:
 - a. Are the effects of the variables statistically significant?
 - b. Interpret each coefficient in terms of “a change of XX in XXXXX leads to a change of YY in price.” Are the signs and magnitudes of these effects plausible?
 - c. Does the intercept term of this regression have any economic interpretation?
 - d. Is the overall fit reasonably good?

2. Test the following null hypotheses at the 5% significance level against the appropriate one-sided or two-sided alternative:
 - a. An additional inch of green raises price by 13 cents or less.
 - b. A bunch with 5 fewer stalks costs exactly 7 cents more.