

Econ 312

Monday, April 6 Vector Autoregression and Vector Error-Correction Models

Reading: Online time-series Chapter 5

Class notes: Pages 117 to 123



Today's Far Side offering



You may have noticed that the board outside my office tends to have a lot of dog comics, while Noel's has a lot of cat comics. I'm especially fond of comics in which dogs are asserting their obvious superiority to cats.

"You have to prime it, you know."



Context and overview

- We have talked a lot about estimating dynamic economic models with distributed lags of various kinds
 - All of these models have presumed that we were able to establish exogeneity
 - In many time-series applications (especially in macro) we cannot be confident that any of the variables is exogenous
- Vector autoregression was developed in the 1980s (Sims and others) to represent the reduced-form of a dynamic model that can be estimated by OLS without making strong assumptions about exogeneity

Simultaneous systems of equations

- We have not yet discussed estimation of **systems of simultaneous equations**, but we will later in the class
- In a simultaneous system, we have **multiple endogenous variables** and an equal number of equations
 - For example, price and quantity as endogenous variables and supply and demand curves as equations
- Such simultaneous systems cause trouble because there is usually an endogenous variable on the right-hand side
 - Price is endogenous in both the demand curve and the supply curve
- We'll study the **instrumental-variables** model for estimating the structural form of such models later

Reduced forms

- One solution: Solve out the endogenous variables to get the "reduced form"
 - Reduced-form system expresses each endogenous variable solely as a function of exogenous variables
 - Supply-demand system: One equation for price and one for quantity, with all exogenous variables that affect either supply or demand on the right-hand side of both reduced-form equations
- Because there are no endogenous variables on the right, the reduced-form equations can usually be estimated by OLS
- Neither reduced-form equation is "demand" or "supply;" both are combinations of both
- If the model is "**identified**," then we can reconstruct the demand and supply equations from the coefficients of the reduced form

$\Delta r = \sigma r = c = (1 / \Lambda D)$

Idea of vector autoregression (VAR)

- Identification is very problematic in dynamic macroeconomics
 - Nothing is really exogenous
 - Everything evolves together over time
- VAR models allow us to perform some tasks in dynamic models without identification
 - Forecasting
 - Granger "causality" tests
- Other tasks require us to make identification assumptions
 - Estimating the effects of a shock to one variable on others
 - Decomposing the variation in a variable into parts attributable to various shocks
- Variables in VARs should be stationary

A simple 2-variable model

• Following the time-series chapters and the daily problem, suppose we have a **structural model** of *y* and *x*

 $x_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{t-1} + \theta_{0}y_{t} + \theta_{1}y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{x}$ $y_{t} = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}y_{t-1} + \delta_{0}x_{t} + \delta_{1}x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$

- Both *y* and *x* depend on current and lagged values of both variables
- Epsilon terms are the "**shocks**" to *x* and *y*
 - We assume that they are **white noise**

$$\operatorname{var}(\varepsilon_t^x) = \sigma_x^2, \operatorname{var}(\varepsilon_t^y) = \sigma_y^2, \operatorname{cov}(\varepsilon_t^x, \varepsilon_t^y) = \sigma_{xy}$$

Reduced-form solution

- The exogenous variables are just lagged *x* and *y* and the two shocks
- The reduced form expresses current *x* and *y* as functions solely of these exogenous variables
- Solving:

$$\begin{split} x_{t} &= \frac{\alpha_{0} + \theta_{0} \phi_{0}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} + \frac{\alpha_{1} + \theta_{0} \delta_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} x_{t-1} + \frac{\theta_{1} + \theta_{0} \phi_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} y_{t-1} + \frac{\theta_{0} \varepsilon_{t}^{y} + \varepsilon_{t}^{x}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} \\ y_{t} &= \frac{\phi_{0} + \theta_{0} \alpha_{0}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} + \frac{\phi_{1} + \delta_{0} \theta_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} x_{t-1} + \frac{\delta_{1} + \delta_{0} \alpha_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}} y_{t-1} + \frac{\delta_{0} \varepsilon_{t}^{x} + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}}{1 - \theta_{0} \delta_{0}}. \end{split}$$

- BOTH equations contain parameters from BOTH structural equations
- The "error terms" of BOTH equations contain BOTH shocks

Reduced form as VAR

• We give new names to the complicated coefficients in the reduced form: $\alpha_0 + \theta_0 \phi_0 = \alpha_1 + \theta_0 \delta_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_0 \phi_1 = \theta_0 \varepsilon_1^y + \varepsilon_1^x$

 $x_{t} = \frac{\alpha_{0} + \theta_{0}\phi_{0}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}} + \frac{\alpha_{1} + \theta_{0}\delta_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}x_{t-1} + \frac{\theta_{1} + \theta_{0}\phi_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}y_{t-1} + \frac{\theta_{0}\varepsilon_{t}^{y} + \varepsilon_{t}^{z}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}$ $y_{t} = \frac{\phi_{0} + \theta_{0}\alpha_{0}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}} + \frac{\phi_{1} + \delta_{0}\theta_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}x_{t-1} + \frac{\delta_{1} + \delta_{0}\alpha_{1}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}y_{t-1} + \frac{\delta_{0}\varepsilon_{t}^{x} + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}}{1 - \theta_{0}\delta_{0}}$ $x_{t} = \beta_{x,0} + \beta_{x,1}x_{t-1} + \gamma_{x,1}y_{t-1} + \nu_{t}^{x}$

 $y_t = \beta_{y,0} + \beta_{y,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{y,1} y_{t-1} + v_t^y$

Error terms in VAR equations

• Error terms in the VAR equations contain BOTH shocks:

$$\nu_t^x = \frac{\theta_0 \varepsilon_t^y + \varepsilon_t^x}{1 - \theta_0 \delta_0}, \quad \nu_t^y = \frac{\delta_0 \varepsilon_t^x + \varepsilon_t^y}{1 - \theta_0 \delta_0}$$

• Properties:

$$\operatorname{var}(v_{t}^{x}) = \frac{1}{(1-\theta_{0}\delta_{0})^{2}} \left(\sigma_{x}^{2} + \theta_{0}^{2}\sigma_{y}^{2} + 2\theta_{0}\sigma_{xy}\right)$$
$$\operatorname{var}(v_{t}^{y}) = \frac{1}{(1-\theta_{0}\delta_{0})^{2}} \left(\delta_{0}^{2}\sigma_{x}^{2} + \sigma_{y}^{2} + 2\delta_{0}\sigma_{xy}\right)$$
$$\operatorname{cov}(v_{t}^{x}, v_{t}^{y}) = \frac{2}{(1-\theta_{0}\delta_{0})^{2}} \left(\delta_{0}\sigma_{x}^{2} + \theta_{0}\sigma_{y}^{2} + (1+\delta_{0}\theta_{0})\sigma_{xy}\right)$$

Estimating the VAR

• We can estimate the VAR as a set of two **OLS** equations

$$x_{t} = \beta_{x,0} + \beta_{x,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{x,1} y_{t-1} + \nu_{t}^{x}$$
$$y_{t} = \beta_{y,0} + \beta_{y,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{y,1} y_{t-1} + \nu_{t}^{y}$$

- There can be m > 2 variables
 - But each variable adds regressors to all equations
- There can be p > 1 lags
 - Use AIC or Schwartz/Bayesian IC to figure out how many lags are required
- Each VAR equation has $m \times p$ variables on the right
 - *m* × *p* gets big quickly!



Using estimated VAR without identification

- Forecast *y* and *x* by running the VAR equations forward assuming zero (the expected value) for the error terms *v*
- Attempt to infer **causality** using a controversial (but common) technique developed by Granger

Granger causality

$$x_{t} = \beta_{x,0} + \beta_{x,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{x,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{x}$$
$$y_{t} = \beta_{y,0} + \beta_{y,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{y,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{y}$$

- Suppose that we fail to reject H_0 : $\gamma_{x,1} = 0$
- Lagged *y* does not help us predict *x* given the past behavior of *x*
 - This means that we cannot conclude that *y* "**Granger causes**" *x*
- If we fail to reject H_0 : $\beta_{y,1} = 0$ then lagged *x* does not help us predict *y* and we cannot conclude that *x* Granger causes *y*
- Any of four outcomes is possible: Neither may Granger cause the other, both may Granger cause each other, or Granger causality can run in either single direction

Is Granger causality really causality?

- In general, correlation does not imply causality
- Granger causality is only plausible if we assume
 - Causality is **NEVER purely instantaneous** (just period *t* causing period *t*)
 - That the **present cannot cause the past**
 - That there is **no third variable missing** from the system that causes both
- Because of the last assumption, you can get **different results** between *x* and *y* if you add *z* to the system
- Questionable restrictions, but Granger causality has been used a lot



Identification of VARs

- Identification assumptions may allow us to recover the parameters of the structural system from the reduced-form system
- We estimate

$$x_{t} = \beta_{x,0} + \beta_{x,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{x,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{x}$$
$$y_{t} = \beta_{y,0} + \beta_{y,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{y,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{y}$$

 \bullet Use estimates of β and γ parameters to infer parameters of

$$x_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{t-1} + \theta_{0}y_{t} + \theta_{1}y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{x}$$
$$y_{t} = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}y_{t-1} + \delta_{0}x_{t} + \delta_{1}x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$$

Are there enough parameters?

• Reduced form has 6 coefficients, 2 error variances, and 1 covariance = **9 pieces of information**

$$x_{t} = \beta_{x,0} + \beta_{x,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{x,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{x}$$

$$y_{t} = \beta_{y,0} + \beta_{y,1} x_{t-1} + \gamma_{y,1} y_{t-1} + v_{t}^{y}$$

• Structural form has 8 coefficients, 2 error variances, and 1 covariance = **11 things we want to know**

$$x_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{t-1} + \theta_{0}y + \theta_{1}y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{x}$$
$$y_{t} = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}y_{t-1} + \delta_{0}x_{t} + \delta_{1}x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}^{y}$$

• We need 11 - 9 = 2 additional restrictions to identify model

Possible identification restrictions

 $x_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \theta_0 y_t + \theta_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^x$ $y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \delta_0 x_t + \delta_1 x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^y$

- 1. Nearly always assume independence of structural shocks, $\sigma_{xy} = 0$
- 2. Most common second assumption is either $\theta_0 = 0$ or $\delta_0 = 0$
 - If $\theta_0 = 0$, then *y* affects *x* only with a lag
 - If $\delta_0 = 0$, then *x* affects *y* only with a lag
 - This allows us to interpret the **contemporaneous correlation** between *x* and *y* by making an **assumption about instantaneous causality**
- Other assumptions may be used in place of 2: "structural VARs" or "identified VARs"

With more than two variables

- With *m* variables and equations, you need m(m-1)/2 assumptions in addition to independence of shocks
- Most common assumption is "**ordering**" of contemporaneous causality
 - Assume an order of the variables *w*, *x*, *y*, *z* such that variables later on the list have no contemporaneous effect on those before them
 - Here, *w* can affect all variables immediately; *x* only affects *y* and *z* right away and affects *w* only with lag (#1); *y* only affects *z* now and affects *w* and *x* with lag (#2, 3); and *z* has only lagged effects on the others (#4, 5, 6)
 - This is 4(4-1)/2 = 6 assumptions, as required

Impulse-response functions

- Impulse-response function: Most common use of identified VARs
- IRF tells us how a structural shock to either variable affects both variables:

$$\frac{\Delta E(x_{t+s})}{\Delta \varepsilon_t^x} \text{ and } \frac{\Delta E(y_{t+s})}{\Delta \varepsilon_t^x} \text{ for } s = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$\frac{\Delta E(x_{t+s})}{\Delta \varepsilon_t^y} \text{ and } \frac{\Delta E(y_{t+s})}{\Delta \varepsilon_t^y} \text{ for } s = 0, 1, \dots$$

- Two-variable system means 2×2 IRF sequences
- Usually presented as set of graphs with *s* on the horizontal axis

IRFs only possible in identified VARs

- You **must identify** your VAR with appropriate assumption(s) to calculate impulse-response functions
 - In general, both reduced-form *v* error terms are non-zero in each period
 - These cannot be interpreted as shocks to either *x* or *y*: combinations of both
 - Must know how to interpret contemporaneous correlation in order to distinguish structural shocks to *x* from structural shocks to *y*
- Different identifying assumptions may lead to different results

Example of IRFs from Romer & Romer (2010)



FIGURE 9. RESULTS OF TWO-VARIABLE VARS FOR THE TWO TYPES OF EXOGENOUS TAX CHANGES AND GDP

Variance decompositions

- Another, less-common use of identified VARS
- Answers the question "How much of the variance in y_{t+s} is due to shocks to x_t vs. shocks to y_t ?"
- Formulas can be found in time-series textbooks

VARs in Stata

- var varlist, lags(1/4) does estimation with lags 1 through 4
 - Can also include exog(vars) to add variables but not equations
- After var command:
 - fcast compute (then fcast graph)
 - oirf (create, graph, table)
 - oirf does ordered identification (specific order explicitly or list in desired order)
 - irf alone assumes neither has immediate effect
 - vargranger
 - varlmar (test for autocorrelated residuals)
 - varsoc (criteria for lag length)
 - varstable (check stability of model)
- var svar does structural VARs

I,

Vector error-correction (VEC) models

- Can do VAR systems with cointegrated variables using **vector** error-correction
- There can be as many as *m* 1 cointegrating relationships among *m* variables
- VEC model is:
 - VAR in the differences of the variables (which are stationary)
 - Adding error-correction terms corresponding to any or all cointegrating relationships (which are also stationary)
- Stata vec command will estimate and analyze VEC systems



Review and summary

- **Vector autoregression** is a method of estimating the dynamic relationship among a set of variables
- Without any **identifying assumptions**, we can use VARs for **forecasting** and testing **Granger causality**
- With appropriate identifying assumptions, we can estimate **impulse-response functions** to see how shocks to any variable affects others, and we can use **variance decompositions** to estimate which kinds of shocks are most important to the movement of each variable
- With cointegrated variables, we use the **vector error-correction** model



Something different: A puzzle

Given that this is a quantitative class, a numerical puzzle seems appropriate:

What is the pattern in the following numerical sequence?

8, 5, 4, 9, 1, 7, 6, 10, 3, 2

[Using the Internet to find the solution is cheating!]

What's next?

- This class ends our segment on time-series analysis
 - (Whew!)
- In the next section we start looking at models for **pooled and panel-data** samples: those that combine a time-series dimension with cross sections
 - In the first class (April 8) we will consider pooled samples and the **fixed**-effects estimator for panel samples
 - In the second (April 10) we will introduce the **random-effects estimator** and do a detailed example together