Economics 312 Daily Problem #37

The output below was generated using a dataset on Reed students in the 1988–2002 period. The variables are defined as:

- grad = 1 if the student received a BA degree, zero otherwise.
- satm100 and satv100 are SAT scores divided by 100.
- hsgpa = high-school GPA.
- irdr = inverted admission office reader rating, on a scale with 5 being the top and 1 the bottom. (Note that this measure includes their assessment of SAT and high-school record.)
- humfresh = 1 if the student enrolled in Hum 110 as a freshman during his or her first fulltime semester (to exclude transfers, part-time students, visiting students, etc.)

The Stata probit command reports the β coefficients of the probit function

 $\Pr[y=1|x] = G[\beta_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ...]$, where *G* is the cumulative normal probability function. The dprobit

command reports the "partial effects"
$$\frac{\partial \Pr[y=1]}{\partial x_j}\Big|_{x_j=\overline{x}_j} = \beta_j G' [\beta_1 + \beta_2 \overline{x}_2 + ...].$$

. summarize grad satm100 satv100 hsgpa irdr if e(sample)

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	
+ grad	2230	. 6941704	.4608613	0		
satm100	2230	6.445291	.717163	3.8	8	
satv100	2230	6.824126	.7158408	3.6	8	
hsorpa	2230	3.665368	.3823644	2.294	4.933	
irdr	2230	3.274865	.500862	1.5	5	
Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2:	log likeliho log likeliho log likeliho	pod = -1373. pod = -1340. pod = -1340	0625 2387 1944			
Iteration 3:	log likeliho	pod = -1340.	1944			
Probit regression				Number of obs	=	2230
-				LR chi2(4)	=	65.74
				Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log likelihood	= -1340.1944		Pseudo R2	=	0.0239	

grad	I	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
satm100	1	.0818228	.0429514	1.91	0.057	0023604	.1660059
satv100	I.	.0240013	.0431685	0.56	0.578	0606074	.10861
hsgpa	1	.3064659	.0893162	3.43	0.001	.1314094	.4815224
irdr	1	.2144542	.0735848	2.91	0.004	.0702307	.3586777
_cons	I.	-1.995731	.3894392	-5.12	0.000	-2.759018	-1.232445

. dprobit	grad	satm	n100	satv1	00 hs	gpa ird	r if	humfres	h						
Iteration	0:	log	like	elihoo	d = -	1373.06	25								
Iteration	1:	log	log likelihood = -1340.2387												
Iteration	2:	log	like	lihoo	d = -	1340.19	44								
Iteration	<pre>Iteration 3: log likelihood = -1340.1944</pre>														
Probit regression, reporting marginal effects Number of obs : LR chi2(4) :									=	2 65	230 .74				
										Prob	> cł	ni2	=	0.0	000
Log likel:	ihood	= -1	340	.1944						Pseud	do R2	2	=	0.0	239
grad		dF/	/dx	Std.	Err.	z		P> z	x	-bar	[95 %	С	I.]
satm100)2849	991	.014	9558	1.9	1 1	0.057	6.4	4529	00	0814		.057	812
satv100		0835	597	.015	0356	0.5	6	0.578	6.8	2413	0)2111		037	829
hsqpa	.1	L0674	29	.031	0972	3.4	3	0.001	3.6	6537	.04	15793		167	692
irdr		.0746	595	.025	6149	2.9	1	0.004	3.2	7487	. 02	24491		124	899
obs. P	⊦ .€	 59417	 704												
pred. P	1.6	59883	331	(at x	-bar)										
z and	P> z	cor	resp	pond t	o the	test o	f th	e underl	 yin	g coei	fici	Lent 1) Dei	ing	0

1. The summarize command at the top was run after the probit commands. What does the if e(sample) clause in this statement do?

2. Why does Stata report *z* statistics rather than *t* statistics in these regressions? What distribution do they follow? Why are they identical between the probit and dprobit commands?

3. Other things being equal, how much would an increase of 0.5 units (about one standard deviation) in reader rating change the probability of a student completing a Reed degree? Around what values of the regressors are you evaluating this change? Would this effect be larger or smaller at the tails than at the means of the distribution? Why?

4. Assess the overall regression. What can we infer from it about what admission variables help predict Reed students' graduation rate during this period?