

Economics 312
Daily Problem #7

Spring 2020
February 7

The following OLS regression was run using panel data for 50 states plus the District of Columbia for years 1983–1997. The dependent variable *fatalityrate* is the number of traffic fatalities per million miles traveled. The regressor *sb_usage* is the estimated rate of seatbelt usage as a fraction (0.5 means 50% usage). The seatbelt variable was not observed for all states and years, so the panel is “unbalanced.”

```
. regress fatalityrate sb_usage
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	556
Model	.002276888	1	.002276888	F(1, 554)	=	107.24
Residual	.011762517	554	.000021232	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.1622
				Adj R-squared	=	0.1607
Total	.014039406	555	.000025296	Root MSE	=	.00461

fatalityrate	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
sb_usage	-.0119015	.0011493	-10.36	0.000	-.0141589 -.009644
_cons	.0260626	.0006384	40.82	0.000	.0248086 .0273167

1. Interpret the coefficient on seatbelt usage and (if it has an interpretation) the constant term in this regression. Do the results seem reasonable?
2. What would be the payoff in terms of fatalities of increasing the rate of seatbelt use by 5 percentage points in a state with 50 billion vehicle miles per year? Use the reported confidence interval to find a 95% confidence range for this effect.
3. Can you think of any problems that might arise from omitting the observations with unreported seatbelt usage?

The regression below uses the same dependent variable but the regressor is a dummy variable that is one for states/years where the legal drinking age is 21 and zero where the drinking age is lower.

```
. regress fatalityrate drinkage21
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	765
Model	.002510823	1	.002510823	F(1, 763)	=	72.06
Residual	.026586021	763	.000034844	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.0863
				Adj R-squared	=	0.0851
Total	.029096845	764	.000038085	Root MSE	=	.0059

fatalityrate	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
drinkage21	-.0056781	.0006689	-8.49	0.000	-.0069912 -.004365
_cons	.0265144	.0006292	42.14	0.000	.0252792 .0277497

4. Interpret the coefficient on the drinkage21 variable and (if possible) the constant term. Do the results seem reasonable?

5. If a state with 50 billion vehicle miles per year could lower its legal drinking age below 21, what would you predict about the effects on fatalities?