

Website Critique.

foldername _____ (is it, and all image file names free of spaces?)

student authors _____

Are there 6 pages?

Home__ Ontogeny__ Mechanism __ Phylogeny __ Adaptive_value __ References __

Uniform look to all pages? _____

Do all links on the navigation bar work on ALL pages? _____

Does the site include internal links that work _____ external links that work _____

Note any broken links:

Note inconsistent formatting on references:

Note web references that lack an author or date:

Note any images that fail to display:

Note any non-original images that are not credited:

CONTENT

Use the website to answer the following questions.

Also comment on the efficiency of information transfer on each point. If you cannot easily answer the question, you, as a reviewer, should suggest how the information might be restructured in order to facilitate information transfer.

What is the purpose of this website?

How does the behavior develop (relative contribution of genetic and environmental influences)?

What is known of the mechanism (molecular/physiological/hormonal etc) of this behavior?

Are there good hypotheses regarding the phylogenetic history of this behavior?

What is the adaptive value of this behavior?

STYLE COMMENTS

Is there too much/little text at specific points?

Is the font size or style ever distracting?

Are headings and subheadings easily identified and clearly informative?

Are the figures easily interpreted and clearly labeled?

Are there too many, or too few images or figures?

Have the authors used color and contrast in an effective way?

How could the layout be changed in order to more efficiently convey information?

Do the authors use internal links to connect related parts of the website?

GENERAL COMMENTS:

OVERALL EVALUATION: